,	LEMB LONEC	
1	KEMP JONES Michael J. Gayan	
2	Nevada Bar No.: 11135 Wells Fargo Tower, 17 th Floor	
3	3800 Howard Hughes Parkway Las Vegas, NV 89169	
4	T: 702.385.6000 F: 702.385.6001	
5	m.gayan@kempjones.com	
6	DLA PIPER LLP (US) David S. Sager (admitted pro hac vice)	
7	51 John F. Kennedy Parkway, Suite 120 Short Hills, New Jersey 07078	
8	T: 973.520.2550 F: 973.520.2551 david.sager@us.dlapiper.com	
9	Kyle T. Orne (<i>admitted pro hac vice</i>) 2525 East Camelback Road, Suite 1000	
10	Phoenix, AZ 85016	
11	T: 480.606.5100 F: 480.606.5101 dlaphx@us.dlapiper.com	
12	Attorneys for Defendant	
13	Venetian Las Vegas Gaming, LLC	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
15	A.H., an Individual,	Case No. 24-cv-01041-GMN-NJK
16	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO
17	V.	EXTEND DEFENDANT
18	WYNN LAS VEGAS, LLC, a Nevada Limited	VENETIAN LAS VEGAS GAMING, LLC'S DEADLINE TO
19	Liability Company, et al.,	RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S
20	Defendants.	AMENDED COMPLAINT
21		(FIRST REQUEST)
22		
23		
24	Plaintiff A.H. and Defendant Venetian Las Vegas Gaming, LLC ("Venetian") by and	
25	through their respective counsel of record, hereby agree to extend Venetian's deadline to	
26	response to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint and stipulate as follows:	
27	On July 15, 2024, 2024 Defendant Venetian Las Vegas Gaming, LLC filed its motion	

to dismiss Plaintiff's amended complaint (ECF 43). Plaintiff timely filed her opposition

(ECF No. 46) and Venetian timely filed its reply (ECF No. 55).

On December 30, 2024, this Court granted in part and denied in part Venetian's Motion to Dismiss, dismissing the state law claims without prejudice, but denying Venetian's motion with respect to Plaintiff's TVPRA claims (ECF. No. 72).

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(4)(A), Venetian's response to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint would be due on January 13, 2025. Since the ruling on Venetian's Motion to Dismiss was entered in between the Christmas and New Year holidays, Venetian needs additional time to review the Order and respond to Plaintiff's Amended Complaint in light of the rulings made by this Court. The Plaintiff has agreed to the requested extension.

As such, Plaintiff and Venetian stipulate that Venetian's response to the Amended Complaint shall be extended by fourteen (14) days, making Venetian's response due by January 27, 2025.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

///

/ / / IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated: January 14, 2025

///

Nancy J. Koppe

United States Magistrate Judge